

Memorandum

April 15, 2013

TO: County Executive Office

- FROM: Dr. Peter Hughes, CPA, Director Studying March Internal Audit Department
- SUBJECT: Internal Audit Department 2013 Business Plan Update & Balanced Scorecard

As requested please find attached the updated performance measures and inclusion of our Balanced Scorecard for the 2013 Business Plan Update.

For the Fiscal Year 11-12, we successfully met our two key performance measures. Our audit efforts and the diligence and responsiveness of County management contributed to the achievement of these measures. Our two key performance measures were successful last year because:

- 1. Overall, IAD received an average rating of **4.7 out of 5** by the customer in our evaluation surveys.
- 2. County management fully concurred or partially concurred with 100% of recommendations made in FY 11-12 for the reporting period.

The Internal Audit Department's performance measures align with the Board of Supervisors' 2007 Strategic Initiative: Building for the Future of Our Community and Great Goal 1. Our Balanced Scorecard update in Fiscal Year 11-12 details the four services areas and 16 Key Performance Indicators (KPI) as indicators of our success toward achieving "exceeds target" or "meets target" with the County's Great Goals.

Attachment: Internal Audit Department's 2013 Business Plan Update & Balanced Scorecard Update



Performance Measure:

Professionalism of the Audit Department

What: Evaluation surveys measure the value of our audit services and report products. **Why:** The evaluation survey provides feedback regarding auditors' professionalism, timely communications, partnering, technical knowledge, and whether recommendations were practical and reasonable.

FY 11-12 Results	FY 12-13 Plan	FY 12-13 Anticipated Results	FY 13-14 Plan	How are we doing?
Overall, IAD received an average rating of 4.7 out of 5 in our evaluation surveys.	Achieve an average rating of 3.5 out of 5 in our evaluation surveys.	We anticipate achieving an average rating of 4.0 or more in our evaluation surveys.	Achieve an average rating of 4.0 or more in our evaluation surveys.	We continue to receive high ratings of our services.

Performance Measure:

Usefulness of Audit Findings and Recommendations

What: Management concurrence with audit recommendations measures the usefulness of our report product.

Why: Management concurrence with audit recommendations assures the validity and reasonableness of our report product.

FY 11-12 Results	FY 12-13 Plan	FY 12-13 Anticipated Results	FY 13-14 Plan	How are we doing?
Management either fully concurred or partially concurred with 100% of audit recommendations.	Achieve management's full or partial concurrence of 80% or better of audit recommendations.	We anticipate achieving management's full or partial concurrence of 80% or better of audit recommendations.	Achieve management's full or partial concurrence of 80% or better of audit recommendations.	We continue to receive management concurrence on a high percentage of audit recommendations.



-	-	
	IRES (MEASURES AND REPORTING)	
	RES UVIEASURES AND REPORTING)	

PERFORMANCE MEASURES STRATEGIES EMPLOYED		MEASURES & REPORTING		
1.	Conduct and/or update the annual Risk Assessment as the basis for the annual Audit Plan.	The annual Audit Plan's risk-based emphasis and content is agreed upon and approved by the AOC.		
2.	Develop an annual Audit Plan and direct audit resources to "higher risk" areas first unless otherwise directed by the Board of Supervisors (BOS) or the Audit Oversight Committee (AOC).	The annual Audit Plan's risk-based emphasis and content is agreed upon and approved by the AOC.		
3.	Apply audit resources in accordance with the annual Audit Plan to complete all mandated audits and grants, and to provide assessments of critical business functions.	Each quarter, IAD will submit a status report to the AOC on our progress in completing the audits on the annual Audit Plan.		
4.	Follow-Up Audits on all audit findings and recommendations are initiated within six to twelve months of the release date of the final original audit report.	Audit recommendations from all audits will be followed-up. We will conduct the first follow-up audit beginning after 6 months, and then proceed to a second follow-up beginning six months after the first follow- up audit report is issued. After that, any unresolved issue is brought to the attention of the AOC.		
5.	Keep the Board of Supervisors informed of emerging issues as these come to the attention of the IAD, including those not specifically identified through the audit process.	Each member of the Board and/or their staff will be briefed by Director of Internal Audit, Dr. Hughes, and his staff on audit matters monthly or at their convenience. The Director of Internal Audit will also submit monthly and quarterly reports as part of the Board agenda. Board EA's will be briefed as necessary to increase awareness of audit issues.		



Internal Audit Department 2013 Business Plan Update & Balanced Scorecard Update Key Performance Measures (Measures and Reporting)

	PERFORMANCE MEASURES STRATEGIES EMPLOYED		MEASURES & REPORTING
Supe rega integ	ide information to the Board of ervisors and County management rding both the adequacy and rity of key controls over their ness systems.	a)	All audit reports will be distributed to the Board Members, Grand Jury, AOC Members (includes the County Executive Officer), all Department Heads, and formally to the Clerk of the Board, pursuant to AOC Administrative Procedure No. 1.
		b)	Each quarter, obtain a status of all third party or external audit activity from the County departments and agencies. Compile and report the information quarterly to the AOC, including highlighting any material or significant issues, pursuant to the AOC Administrative Procedure No. 2.
		c)	All quarterly Audit Oversight Committee meetings will be fully supported by the IAD including: (A) preparation of agendas and minutes, distribution of same and exhibits and documents beforehand, (B) submission of quarterly status reports regarding completion of the audit plan and progress of each audit, and (C) briefings as appropriate on audit issues and findings.
Sectio comp Profe Auditi	As required by Government Code Section 1236, complete all audits in compliance with the Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing promulgated by the Institute of Internal Auditors or by the relevant	a)	Each audit assignment will be internally reviewed for compliance with the professional standards and this review will be documented in the audit working papers (using a quality assurance checklist) for every audit completed.
using	ssional standards. This includes COSO as the framework for al control.	b)	Every three years, IAD will undergo a Peer Review to obtain independent assurance of our compliance with the IIA standards. In 2001, we underwent a Peer Review that concluded we are in compliance with IIA standards. We completed four subsequent Peer Reviews; two in 2004, one in 2007 and one in 2010. All five concluded the department complied with all professional standards relating to internal audit and in many areas was a model for how an internal audit department should operate. Our next Peer Review is scheduled for 2013.



Internal Audit Department 2013 Business Plan Update & Balanced Scorecard Update Key Performance Measures (Measures and Reporting)

	PERFORMANCE MEASURES STRATEGIES EMPLOYED	MEASURES & REPORTING
8	 Provide training opportunities and reimbursement to staff for professional development and to meet certification requirements. 	Each auditor will complete and document at least 40 hours of yearly continuing professional education training.
ç	 Effectively implement, establish, promote and monitor the Executive Management Appraisal Program (EMAP), Performance for Pay (P4P), Performance Incentive Program (PIP) and all other training as required by the CEO or County HR. 	Managers and Supervisors will accomplish this by meeting with staff and the Director of Internal Audit to address PIP and P4P goals and answer questions and concerns. Internal Audit feels this collaborative process will ensure that both the department's goals and objectives and individual goals are consistent.



IAD Balanced Scorecard

In addition to the above performance measures, the Internal Audit Department implemented a Balanced Scorecard process in Fiscal Year 11-12, where **16 Key Performance Indicators (KPI)** were established as indicators of our success towards achieving our strategic vision and mission.

We measure these **16 Key Performance Indicators (KPI)** in order to continuously improve our strategic performance and results for the Board of Supervisors (Board) and the County. We have adopted the four perspectives of a Balanced Scorecard as our framework for performance measurement. The Balanced Scorecard is a way of measuring our success by balancing long-term and short-term goals and balancing how successful we are in 4 key categories:

- A) Serving the Board and Audit Client (Customer Perspective)
- B) Managing the Business (Process Perspective)
- C) Managing the Resources (Financial Perspective)
- D) Developing our Employees (Innovation and Learning Perspective)

We exceeded or met targets for all 16 KPI as follows:

A. Serving the Board and Audit Client (Customer Perspective)

- 1. Auditee Evaluation Surveys
- **1**2. Materiality of Audit Coverage
- 13. Breadth of Audit Coverage
- 14. Cyclical Audit Coverage
- 15. Responsiveness to Board Directives
- +6. Responsiveness to Audit Oversight Committee Direction
- 17. Transparency of Audit Function
- ⇔8. Responsiveness to Provide Timely Information

B. Managing the Business (Process Perspective)

- **19.** Percentage of Audit Findings Concurred with by Management
- 10. Measure of Accuracy
- 11. Annual Risk Assessments & Audit Plans
- 12. Measure of Productivity

C. Managing the Resources (Financial Perspective)

- 13. Measure of Effectiveness
- 14. Ratio of Auditors to County Employees

D. Developing the Employees (Innovation and Learning Perspective)

- 15. Average years of Professional Experience
- 16. Percentage of Auditors who are CPAs and CIAs

Legend: Target



IAD Balanced Scorecard continued

Each of these measures is an indicator of our success towards achieving our strategic vision and mission as outlined below.

		ment			
	Internal Audit Depart 2012 Balanced Scorecard		ry		
				2000	
			Performance Results for 2012		
		Trend	Excel Level	Target Level	Concern Leve
Se	rvice Area: Serving the Board and Audit Clients				
1	Auditee Evaluation Surveys: Achieve ratings of IAD services by Audit Clients of a 3.5 of 5 or better.	1	0		
2	Materiality of Audit Coverage: Allocation of Audit Hours (50% or more) to critical and high risk areas each year.		0		
	Breadth of Audit Coverage: Provide Audit coverage to 33% (8 out of 24 County Departments) each year.		0		
	Cyclical Audit Coverage: To provide Audit coverage to all 24 County departmens each 2 years (2010 to 2011)	1	0		
5	Responsiveness to the Board: Conduct Audits as identified in Board directives (Track all Board directives per County Cierk)		0		
6	Responsiveness to Audit Oversight Committee: To schedule four (4) AOC quarterly meetings each calendar year.		0		-
7	Transparency of Audits: To upload on IAD's public website all audit reports within 4 weeks of official release.	\$	0		с. Э
8	Responsivness to Provide Timely Information: To provide to the Board all (non- confidential) final audit reports immediately, electronically, release to County Departments.		0		
					5
Se	rvice Area: Managing the Business Percentage of Audit Findings Concurred with by Management. Obtain 80%				
9	concurrence from management regarding the audit findings.	(Δ	
10	Measure of Accuracy: Obtain 80% implementation of corrective actions on our audit findings within the 2nd Follow-Up Audit which is typically within 12 months. Annual Risk Assessments & Audit Plans: Conduct an annual Risk Assessment	1	0		
11	Annual road Assessments & Audit Plans. Conduct an annual road Assessment of Accounting processes, compilance effectiveness and business systems/ processes.		•		
12	Measure of Productivity: Complete 70% of the "approved Audit Plan" audits each calendar year (includes release of draft report).	\Leftrightarrow		Δ	
5.0	rvice Area: Measuring the Resources				
13	Measure of Effectiveness: Achieve a chargeable audit hour effectivness avering 70% of available audit hours.		0		
14	Ratio of Auditors to County Employees: Provide audit coverage measured in auditors per County employee that achieves the ALGA average.	1	0		
Se	rvice Area: Developing the Employees				
15	Average Years of Professional Experience: Maintain average experience of 10 years per auditor.		0		
100	Percentage of Auditors who are CPAs and CIAs: Retain at least 50% of				